UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R-2, RDT& | E Budget Item Ju | stification | | | | DATE: | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------|------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | February 2002 | | | | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NO | MENCLATUR | RE | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NA | Managemer | nt, Technica | and Interna | tional Supp | ort/0605853N | | | | | | | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY2007 | Cost to Complete | Total Cost | | | | |
| Total PE Cost | 18.579 | 27.413 | 50.787 | 33.618 | 34.377 | 35.975 | 37.215 | Cont. | Cont. | | | | |
| S3039 CHENG | 0.000 | 11.561 | 33.063 | 15.367 | 15.746 | 16.964 | 17.793 | Cont. | Cont. | | | | |
| R0149 International Cooperative RDT&E | 1.876 | 1.723 | 1.903 | 1.937 | 2.027 | 2.071 | 2.115 | Cont. | Cont. | | | | |
| R1767 Naval War College/Center for Naval Warfare Studies | 2.484 | 2.633 | 3.021 | 3.086 | 3.138 | 3.196 | 3.253 | Cont. | Cont. | | | | |
| X2221 Assessment Program | 14.219 | 11.496 | 12.800 | 13.228 | 13.466 | 13.744 | 14.054 | Cont. | Cont. | | | | |
| Quantity of RDT&E Articles | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | |

- A. (U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This Program Element provides management and technical support for several national and international projects:
- (U) Project S3039 provides the Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Research Development and Acquisition (ASN (RDA)) the engineering base and senior leadership focus within the acquisition structure for integration and interoperability across all Navy and Marine Corps Program Executive Offices (PEO's), Program Managers (PMs), and System Commands (SYSCOMs). This program addresses integration and interoperability of current and future combat, weapons, and command, control, communications, computer and intelligence (C4I) systems acquired by the DoN. Representative engineering activities provided by the Chief Engineer (CHENG) include: leading the functional design of combat and C4I system functions with respect to overall warfare operational architectures, approval of system level interface specifications for all referenced systems; assessing and approving interface changes that impact interoperability prior to fleet introduction; assuring that individual systems adhere to the resulting configuration; providing centralized Naval input to Office of the Undersecretary of Defense, Science and Technology (OUSD (S&T)) on matters related to software intensive systems; and establishing the technical basis for recommended investment decisions and program priorities to ASN (RDA) and the appropriate service chief concerning fielding systems and planned future counterparts. This program develops the Naval Collaborative Engineering Environment as the primary means to support information sharing and decision analyses, interactive analyses of system design alternatives, and data management and configuration control between Navy Laboratories, test facilities and industry engineering teams.
- (U) Project R0149 provides program management, execution, and support to implement a broad range of cooperative naval research and development (R&D) initiatives with allied and friendly nations. Potential cooperative programs are pursued to fulfill established operational requirements, enhance U.S./allied interoperability and standardization, obtain unique foreign technologies, and reduce U.S. developmental and production costs.
- (U) Project R1767 provides funding for Naval War College (NWC) research activities, which serve as a focal point, stimulus, and major source of strategic and campaign thought within the Navy. These efforts generate strategy and campaign alternatives, provide for evaluation through war-gaming methodologies, and provide recommendations to the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) and fleet commanders regarding the formulation and execution of strategy.
- (U) Project X2221 provides analytical and management support for the Planning/Assessment process. This project supports the development of annual Integrated Warfare Architectures (IWARS)/Chief of Naval Operations Program Assessment Memorandum (CPAM)/Joint Warfighting Capability assessments which provide the analytical underpinnings and basis for programmatic decisions made by the Navy's top leadership regarding integration of Navy warfare/support requirements.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-1 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item . | Justification | DATE: | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| , , | | | February 2002 |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 | ITEM NOMENCLATURE | |
| RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY/BA 6 | Mai | nagement, Technical and Inte | rnational Support/0605853N |
| | | | |
| 3. (U) Program Change Summary: | | | |
| 5. (b) i rogiam chango cammary. | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 |
| (U) FY 2002 President's Budget: | 17.481 | 21.628 | 0.000 |
| (U) Appropriated Value: | 17.644 | 18.628 | |
| (U) Adjustments to FY 2001/2002 Appropriated Value/ | | | |
| FY 2002 President's Budget: | 0.935 | 8.785 | |
| (U) FY 2003 President's Budget Submit | 18.579 | 27.413 | 50.787 |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| Funding: | | | |
| FY 2001: Program Adjustments (+\$1.602), SBIR Assessment (-\$.315), and minor p | | / | |
| FY 2002: Transfer of funds from O&MN (+\$12.075), Program Assessment (-\$3.000 | n, and Minor Pricing Adjustments | (-\$.290) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-2 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 2 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXH | IBIT R-2a, RDT&E Bu | dget Item | Justification | | | | DATE: | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | Februa | ry 2002 | |
| PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NA | | | | | | | | | - | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Manag | gement, Ted | chnical and Inte | rnational Spt | S3039 CHENO | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Cost to | Total |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | Complete | Program |
| Project Cost | | 0 | 11.561 | 33.063 | 15.367 | 15.746 | 16.964 | 17.793 | Cont. | Cont. |
| RDT&E Articles Qty | | | | | | | | | | |

A. (U) Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Mission: This project provides for ASN (RDA) identification and resolution of integration and interoperability issues across all Navy and Marine Corps programs early in the acquisition process. The Chief Engineer provides the engineering base and leads the system engineering activities that address the significant challenges posed by the increasingly complex warfighting capabilities of new systems and their integration with in-service systems on ships, submarine, aircraft, satellite, and land facilities and units.

- -(U) Define Mission Capability Packages (MCPs) from a system-of-system perspective to collectively achieve or exploit warfighting capabilities.
- -(U) Lead the functional allocation of combat and C4I system functions, and the definition and control of critical interfaces between component systems within a MCP.
- -(U) Develop the overall performance requirements and the data flow, control flow, and error budgets, and time latencies required to achieve desired MCP capability/effectiveness.
- -(U) Assess the risk of achieving the capabilities/effectiveness introduced by technical and programmatic changes to MCP programs during their execution.
- -(U) Research the underlying technical issues and related technologies that enable the development of needed standards, policies and guidelines to address root impediments to integration and interoperability.
- -(U) Develop the Naval Collaborative Engineering Environment to enable efficient and interactive integration and interoperability engineering design and implementation throughout the Naval acquisition community by networking DON laboratory capabilities and test facilities to support information sharing and decision analysis, interactive analysis of design alternatives, and data management and configuration control of approved requirements.
- -(U) Implement the Navy Single Integrated Air Picture (SIAP) Systems Engineering for the Joint Task Force to identify and recommend the most effective and efficient means to achieve a SIAP capability that satisfies warfighter needs.
- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
- (U) FY 2001 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: N/A

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-3 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EX | HIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification | | DATE: February 2002 |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME | PROJECT NUMBER AND N | • |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Management, Technical and International Sp | | , WIL |
| · | | • | |
| (U) FY 2001 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Not app | licable. | | |
| (U) FY 2002 PLAN: | | | |
| Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW); Battle Manag | conduct functional analyses for six POM 04 Mission Capability Pack gement Command and Control (BFC ²); Information, Surveillance an inizational relationships among systems/programs within each MCP | d Reconnaissance (ISR); and N | Navigation. Develop system and technical architectures |
| | ering Processes. Conduct MCP performance assessments: TST, 1 bility risk assessments of TST, TAMD, and USW systems includin perational performance. | | |
| - (U) (\$1.686) Naval Collaborative Engineer environment and related integrated databases | ring Environment. Develop the decision support capability to support TST, TAMD, and USW systems. | port development of the POM (| 04 MCPs. Prototype and demonstrate the engineering |
| | delines. Develop and implement integration and implementation teroperability Common Operational Environment (DII COE), Anti-Ta | | rds, e.g. Risk Advisory Board (RAB), Joint Technical |
| - (U) (\$1.137) Mission Area Coordinator. Coo | ordinate integration and implementation priorities and issue resolution | on with OPNAV and Fleet Repre | esentatives. |
| - (U) (\$2.863) Core. Provide engineering and | technical staff to direct integration and interoperability priorities acr | oss Navy and Marine Corps Sy | stems Commands, laboratories, and field activities. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-4 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R | -2a, RDT&E Budget Item Ju | ustification | | | DA ⁻ | TE: | | · |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | February 200 | 2 |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBE | R AND NAME | PRO | JECT NUMB | ER AND NAME | | | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Management, Techn | nical and International | Spt S303 | 39 CHENG | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| (U) FY2003 PLAN: | | | | | | | | |
| - (U) (\$10.300) Architecture Development. Complete a | nd refine architectures for MCPs | developed in FY 02 to | reflect PC | OM 04 decisio | ns. Perform ar | chitecture ass | essments to suppo | rt PR 05 issues. |
| - (U) (\$3.800) Large Scale Systems Engineering Pr knowledge base for TCT, TAMD, and USW systems. Co | | , | | | | OM 04 decisi | ions. Continue de | velopment of the |
| - (U) (\$2.300) Naval Collaborative Engineering Enviro BFC ² , ISR and Navigation (NAV) MCPs. | onment (NCEE). Complete the p | prototype demonstratio | n of NCE | E capabilities | for TCT, TAME | D, and USW s | ystems. Initiate the | e extension to the |
| - (U) (\$1.000) Standards Policies and Guidelines. Dev | relop and implement integration a | and interoperability acc | uisition po | olicy and stand | dards, e.g. RAE | B, JTA, DII CO | E, Anti-Tamper. | |
| - (U) (\$1.500) Mission Area Coordinator. Coordinate in | tegration and interoperability price | orities and issue resolu | tion with C | PNAV and F | leet Representa | atives. | | |
| - (U) (\$3.163) Core. Provide engineering and technical | staff to direct integration and int | eroperability priorities | across Na | vy and Marine | Corps System | s Commands, | , laboratories, and f | ield activities. |
| - (U) (\$11.000) SIAP. Provide subject matter experts to solutions, validate cost estimates, and provide Modeling | | | | | lopment and le | ssons learned | I. Develop proposa | ls for engineering |
| • | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| B. (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMARY: (Dollars | in Millions) | | | | | | | |
| B. (b) CTTERT ROCK WIT GIVENIVE CONFIRT. (Boildis | FY01 | FY02 F | Y03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | |
| O&MN | | | | | | | | |
| PE0708012N/0701113N 1C6C | 16.702 | 2.785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| PE0702096N 4B2N | 0 | 1.000 1 | .020 | 1.040 | 1.060 | 1.082 | 1.100 | |
| C. (U) ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not Applicable | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| D. (U) SCHEDULE PROFILE: Not Applicable. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-5 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 5 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| | | | | | | | | DATE: | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|--|----------------|--------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|--|
| Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag | ge 1) | | | | | | | | | February 2 | 002 | | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV | ITY | PR | OGRAM ELEMENT | | | PROJECT N | IAME AND NU | MBER | | | | | |
| RDT&E, N/BA-6 | | 06 | 05853N | | | Management, Technical and International Support/0605853N-S3039 CHENG | | | | | | | |
| Cost Categories | Contract | Performing | Total | | FY 01 | | FY 02 | | FY 03 | | | | |
| (Tailor to WBS, or System/Item | Method | Activity & | PY s | FY 01 | Award | FY 02 | Award | FY 03 | Award | Cost to | Total | Target Valu | |
| Requirements) | & Type | Location | Cost | Cost | Date | Cost | Date | Cost | Date | Complete | Cost | of Contract | |
| Architecture Definition | Contract | JHU/APL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.085 | 01/02 | 0.125 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Architecture Definition | RCP | CNO/C N A | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.101 | 01/02 | 0.125 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Architecture Definition | WR | NAWC | | 0.000 | | 0.205 | 12/01 | 0.350 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | WR | NRL | | 0.000 | | 0.025 | 12/01 | 0.025 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.076 | 12/01 | 0.350 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Architecture Definition | Various | SPAWAR | | 0.000 | | 0.421 | 12/01 | 0.525 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Various | Lead Lab | | 0.000 | | 0.225 | 01/02 | 0.355 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | WR | MCSC | | 0.000 | | 0.095 | 12/01 | 0.125 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Contract | Booz-Allen | | 0.000 | | 0.263 | 01/02 | 0.375 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Contract | SAIC | | 0.000 | | 0.320 | 01/02 | 0.400 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Contract | BAE | | 0.000 | | 0.264 | 01/02 | 0.320 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Contract | Logicon | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.166 | 01/02 | 0.225 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Architecture Definition | Various | Various | | 0.000 | | 0.000 | | 7.000 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | Contract | JHU/APL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.595 | 01/02 | 0.500 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | RCP | CNO/C N A | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.295 | 12/01 | 0.395 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | WR | MCSC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.100 | 12/01 | 0.150 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | WR | NAWC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.680 | 12/01 | 0.800 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.274 | 12/01 | 0.550 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | Various | SPAWAR | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.538 | 12/01 | 0.950 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Large Scale Systems Engineering | Various | Lead Lab | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.241 | 01/02 | 0.455 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| h | 0 | | | | | | 0.1/0.0 | 0.400 | 11/00 | 001/7 | 001/7 | 700 | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | | JHU/APL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.290 | 01/02 | 0.400 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | WR | NAWC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.223 | 12/01 | 0.256 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.379 | 12/01 12/01 | 0.604 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | WR | NSWC/PHD Dam | | 0.000 | | 0.086 | | 0.100 | 10/02 | | | TDD | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | WR | NUWC/N | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.134 | 12/01 | 0.150 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | | SPAWAR | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.265 | 12/01 | 0.350 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | | ANTEON | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.154 | 01/02 | 0.200 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| Naval Collaborative Eng Eviron | Contract | BAE | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.155 | 01/02 | 0.240 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal Product Development | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 6.655 | | 16.400 | | CONT | CONT | | |

Remarks:

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 158-6 of 158-13

UNCLASSIFIED

| Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page | go 1) | | | | | | | DATE: | | February 2 | 002 | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV | | DDOCDA | M ELEMENT | | | DDO IECT N | AME AND NUI | MDED | | rebluary 2 | 002 | |
| | 1111 | | | | | | | | | ./222555 | | |
| RDT&E, N/BA-6 | T - | 0605853 | | - | T | Managem | | cal and Inter | | ipport/060585 | N-S3039 CHEN | IG |
| Cost Categories | Contract | Performing | Total | | FY 01 | | FY 02 | | FY 03 | | | |
| (Tailor to WBS, or System/Item | Method | Activity & | PY s | FY 01 | Award | FY 02 | Award | FY 03 | Award | Cost to | Total | Target Value |
| Requirements) | & Type | Location | Cost | Cost | Date | Cost | Date | Cost | Date | Complete | Cost | of Contract |
| Otan Jan Ja Balian and O Malian | WR | MCSC | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.005 | 01/02 | 0.075 | 40/00 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines Standards, Policy and Guidelines | WR | NAWC/CL | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.065 0.065 | 12/01 | 0.075 0.075 | 10/02 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines Standards, Policy and Guidelines | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.065 | 12/01 | 0.075 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines Standards, Policy and Guidelines | WR | NUWC/N | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.065 | 12/01 | 0.075 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines | Contract | ONR/BMPCOE | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.165 | 01/02 | 0.165 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines | Various | SPAWAR | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.233 | 12/01 | 0.250 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines | Contract | ANTEON | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.158 | 01/02 | 0.190 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Standards, Policy and Guidelines | Contract | Northrup Grumman | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.090 | 01/02 | 0.100 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| Mission Area Coordinator | Contract | JHU/APL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | 01/02 | | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | WR | MCSC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.000 | 12/01 | 0.025 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | WR | NAWC/CL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.234 | 12/01 | 0.285 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.080 | 12/01 | 0.100 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | WR | NUWC/N | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.100 | 12/01 | 0.150 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | WR | NUWC/PC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.050 | 12/01 | 0.060 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | Various | SPAWAR | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.050 | 12/01 | 0.075 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | Contract | ANTEON | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.362 | 01/02 | 0.440 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | Various | Lead Lab | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.125 | 01/02 | 0.125 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| Mission Area Coordinator | Contract | WBB | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.136 | 01/02 | 0.240 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORE | | MIT/LL | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.165 | 01/02 | 0.245 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | WR | NSWC/DD | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.450 | 12/01 | 0.760 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | WR | NSWC/PHD Dam Neck | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.150 | 12/01 | 0.210 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE CORE | Contract | ANTEON | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.475 | 01/02 | 0.450 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD TBD |
| | Contract | Booz-Allen, Hamilton | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.057 | 01/02 | 0.075 | 11/02 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | Contract Contract | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.385 | 01/02 01/02 | 0.350 0.300 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | _ | Strategic Insights | 0.000 | 0.000 | _ | 0.300 | 01/02 | 0.300 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | Contract Various | Troy Lead Lab | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.711 | 01/02 | 0.200 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | TBD |
| CORE | various | Lead Lab | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.711 | 01/02 | 0.373 | 11/02 | CONT | CONT | 100 |
| Single Ingegrated Air Picture | Various | Various | 0.000 | 0.000 | + | 0.000 | | 11.000 | 10/02 | CONT | CONT | |
| Origie ingegrated Air Fictore | various | various | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.000 | | 11.000 | 10/02 | CONT | 00111 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | II. | 1 | | | l . | · · | | II | | 1 |
| Subtotal Product Development | | | | 0 | 0 | 4.90 | 6 | 16.663 | 3 | CONT | CONT | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cost | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 11.56 | 1 | 33.063 | ; | CONT | CONT | |
| | • | | | | • | | • | | • | | • | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 158-7 of 158-13

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXH | BIT R-2a, RDT&E Budget Ite | m Justification | | | | DATE: | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | Februa | ry 2002 | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NU | MBER AND NAM | ΛE | PROJECT NU | MBER AND N | AME | | | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Management, 7 | echnical and Int | ernational Spt | R0149 Interna | tional Coopera | ative R&D | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Cost to | Total |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | Complete | Program |
| Project Cost | 1.876 | 1.723 | 1.903 | 1.937 | 2.027 | 2.071 | 2.115 | Cont. | Cont. |
| RDT&E Articles Qty | | | | | | | | | |

- A. (U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This project provides program management, execution, and support to implement a broad range of cooperative naval research and development (R&D) initiatives with allied and friendly nations. Potential cooperative programs are pursued to fulfill established operational requirements, enhance U.S./allied interoperability and standardization, obtain unique foreign technologies, and reduce U.S. developmental and production costs. Such efforts result in:
- (U) Development and negotiation of approximately 25 International RDT&E Agreements annually with allied and friendly nations.
- (U) Execution of over 300-information exchange annexes.
- (U) Participation in armaments cooperation including the Conference of NATO Armaments Directors groups (e.g., the NATO Naval Armaments Group), Senior National Representative (SNR) meetings, the Technical Cooperative Program and Scientific Committee National Representatives meetings.
- -(U) Participation in the Engineering and Scientist Exchange Program (ESEP).

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

(U) FY 2001 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- (U) (\$0.391) Continued to support DoN participation at SNR Conferences with allies for harmonization of requirements and identification of potential collaborative R&D projects.
- (U) (\$0.889) Continued to support DoN participation in U.S./allied data exchange conferences to identify foreign technologies and R&D projects in which the Navy may desire to collaborate, as well as on-going efforts to initiate/revise/terminate Data Exchange Agreements DEAs to target new technologies and expand, where appropriate, to include exchanges with Former Soviet Union (FSU) countries.
- (U) (\$0.409) Continued to update and maintain the DoN data bases for drafting, negotiating, managing and tracking of proposed IAs for "high leverage/high payoff" R&D technology base cooperative projects with key allies and friendly nations. Provided support to Acquisition Integrated Product Teams (IPTs) in evaluating international cooperative alternatives in development of DON programs as well as support to the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) International Cooperative Opportunities Group (ICOGs) regarding DON requirements and initiatives.
- (U) (\$0.187) Navy participation in the ESEP at approximately two scientists/engineers. Continued to conduct post-assignment analysis of scientists/engineers to better target assignments with emerging technologies and programs at foreign research establishments.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-8 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 8 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R | DATE: | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME | PROJECT NUMBER AND NA | AME | | | | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | tive R&D | | | | | | |

(U) FY 2002 PLAN:

- (U) (\$0.377) Continue to support DoN participation at SNR Conferences with allies for harmonization of requirements and identification of potential collaborative R&D projects.
- (U) (\$0.784) Continue to support DoN participation in U.S./allied data exchange conferences to identify foreign technologies and R&D projects in which the Navy may desire to collaborate as well as on-going efforts to initiate/revise/terminate DEAs to target new technologies and expand, where appropriate, to include exchanges with FSU countries.
- (U) (\$0.472) Continue to update and maintain the DoN data bases for drafting, negotiating, managing and tracking of proposed IAs for "high leverage/high payoff" R&D technology base cooperative projects with key allies and friendly nations. Provide support to Acquisition IPTs in evaluating international cooperative alternatives in development of DON programs as well as support to OSD ICOGs regarding DON requirements and initiatives.
- (U) (\$0.090) Increase the level of Navy participation in the ESEP at approximately four scientists/engineers. Continue to conduct post-assignment analysis of scientists/engineers to better target assignments with emerging technologies and programs at foreign research establishments.

(U) FY 2003 PLAN:

- (U) (\$0.423) Continue to support DoN participation at SNR Conferences with allies for harmonization of requirements and identification of potential collaborative R&D projects.
- (U) (\$0.875) Continue to support DoN participation in U.S./allied data exchange conferences to identify foreign technologies and R&D projects in which the Navy may desire to collaborate as well as on-going efforts to initiate/revise/terminate DEAs to target new technologies and expand, where appropriate, to include exchanges with FSU countries.
- (U) (\$0.515) Continue to update and maintain the DoN databases for drafting, negotiating, managing, and tracking of proposed IAs for "high leverage/high payoff" R&D technology base cooperative projects with key allies and friendly nations. Provide support to Acquisition IPTs in evaluating international cooperative alternatives in development of DoN programs as well as support to OSD International Cooperative Opportunities Group (ICOGs) regarding DoN requirements and initiatives.
- (U) (\$0.090) Maintain a level of Navy participation in the ESEP at approximately two scientists/engineers. Continued to conduct post-assignment analysis of scientists/engineers to better target assignments with emerging technologies and programs at foreign research establishments.
- B. (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: (Dollars in Millions)
- (U) RELATED RDT&E:

| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | DY 2006 | FY 2007 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (U) PE 0605130D (Foreign Comparative Testing) | | | | | | | |
| (U) PE 0603790N (NATO Cooperative Research and Development) | 8.620 | 11.449 | 11.581 | 11.804 | 12.276 | 12.512 | 12.760 |

C. (U) ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not applicable

D. (U) SCHEDULE PROFILE: Not applicable

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-9 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 9 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHI | BIT R-2a, RDT&E | Budget Item | Justification | | | | DATE: | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | Februa | ry 2002 | |
| PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT&E, N BA-6 0605853N/Management, Technical and International Spt R1767 Naval War College/Cent | | | | | | | | Warfare Studie | es | |
| | | | | | | | | | Cost to | Total |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | Complete | Program |
| Project Cost | | 2.484 | 2.633 | 3.021 | 3.086 | 3.138 | 3.196 | 3.253 | Cont. | Cont. |
| RDT&E Articles Qty | | | | | | | | | | |

A. (U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: Naval War College (NWC) research activities serve as a focal point, stimulus, and major source of strategic and campaign thought within the Navy. These efforts generate strategy and campaign alternatives, provide for evaluation through war-gaming methodologies, and provide recommendations to the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) and fleet commanders regarding the formulation and execution of strategy.

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

(U) FY 2001 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- (U) (\$1.600) Conducted strategic studies in response to SECNAV, CNO, UNIFIED, and Fleet CINC tasking in such areas as maritime strategy, decision support, and direct fleet support.
- (U) (\$0.768) Conducted major war games culminating in Global '01.
- (U) (\$0.116) Provided for selected NWC students to conduct advanced research projects, including expanded opportunities for advanced research under the Mahan Scholars program.

2. (U) FY 2002 PLAN:

- (U) (\$1.731) Conduct strategic studies in response to SECNAV, CNO, UNIFIED, and Fleet CINC tasking in such areas as maritime strategy, decision support, and direct fleet support.
- (U) (\$0.784) Conduct major war games culminating in annual Global War game, including maintenance of legacy Enhanced Naval War gaming System and preparation for implementation of successor Joint Simulation System-Maritime, preparation for implementation of successor Joint Simulation System-Maritime.
- (U) (\$0.118) Provide for selected NWC students to conduct advanced research projects.

3. (U) FY 2003 PLAN:

- (Ú) (\$1.764) Conduct strategic studies in response to SECNAV, CNO, UNIFIED, and Fleet CINC tasking in such areas as maritime strategy, decision support, and direct fleet support.
- (U) (\$1.137) Conduct major war games culminating in annual Global War game, including maintenance of legacy Enhanced Naval Wargaming System, preparation for implementation of successor Joint Simulation System-Maritime, and implementation of distributed gaming capability for war games and Fleet Battle Experiments.
- (U) (\$0.120) Provide for selected NWC students to conduct advanced research projects.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-10 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 10 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R | 2-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification | | DATE: |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | February 2002 |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME | PROJECT NUMBER AND NA | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Management, Technical and International Spt | R1767 Naval War College/Ce | enter for Naval Warfare Studies |
| B. (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not | applicable. | | |
| (U) RELATED RDT&E: Not applicable. | | | |
| C. (U) ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not applicable. | | | |
| D. (U) SCHEDULE PROFILE: Not applicable. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-11 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 11 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification | | | | | | | DATE: | | | |
|---|-------------|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | F | ebruary 200 | 2 |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM EI | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME | | | | | AME | | | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Ma | nagement, Tec | hnical and Inte | rnational Spt | X2221 Assess | Assessment Program | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Cost to | Total |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | Complete | Program |
| Project Cost | | 14.219 | 11.496 | 12.800 | 13.228 | 13.466 | 13.744 | 14.054 | CONT | CONT |
| RDT&E Articles Qty | | | | | | | | | | |

(U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: Project X2221, Assessment Program, provides analytical and management support to the Planning segment of the Navy Planning, Programming and Budgeting System (PPBS). This project supports the development of annual Integrated Warfare Architectures (IWARs) and Chief of Naval Operations Program Analysis Memoranda (CPAM) assessments, which provide analytical underpinnings/basis for programmatic decisions of the Navy's top leadership regarding the integration of all Navy warfare and support requirements. This program provides the Navy input to the Vice Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff (VCJCS) led Joint Warfighting Capability Assessment (JWCA) process. Assessment program develops tools and analytical methodologies that assist in evaluating Navy programs and provides technical leadership for the analysis functional area of Naval Modeling and Simulation.

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLAN:

(U) FY 2001 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- (U) (\$0.212) Developed, updated and maintained detailed level Navy Standard scenarios based on Defense Planning Guidance.
- (U) (\$9.293) Developed Integrated Warfare Architectures (IWARs) and performed assessments and developed the Chief of Naval Operations Program Analysis Memoranda (CPAM). Areas of focus included Air Dominance; Deterrence; Force Structure; Infrastructure; Manpower and Personnel; Training and Education; Power Projection; Readiness; Sea Dominance; Sensor Management/Information Superiority; Sustainment; Technology. Provided Navy input to Joint Warfighting Capabilities Assessment (JWCA) process.
- (U) (\$2.062) Continued to develop and accredit IWAR and CPAM tool and improve analytic methodology.
- (U) (\$1.692) Using the standard simulation and database architecture developed by Navy Modeling and Simulation to re-host legacy models into the new framework, vastly improved the utility of these tools to the assessment process. Developed new tools that utilize models in the standard simulation and database architecture. Coordinated/support Joint Analytical Model Improvement Program (JAMIP)
- (U) (\$0.960) In response to ASN (RD&A)'s request, developed the Resource Allocation Decision Support System (RADSS) into a fully operational decision support system for use across Department of the Navy (DON) Expanded the scope of the database and developed a fully operational, web-based RADSS that provides ASN (RD&A) and OPNAV with analytical and management tools for the planning/assessment process.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-12 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 12 of 13)

UNCLASSIFIED

| EXHIBIT R- | DATE: | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----|---------------|
| | | | | February 2002 |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME | PROJECT NUMBER AND NA | AME | |
| RDT&E, N BA-6 | 0605853N/Management, Technical and International Spt | X2221 Assessment Program | | |

(U) FY 2002 PLAN:

- (U) (\$0.218) Develop, update and maintain detailed level Navy Standard scenarios based on Defense Planning Guidance. Develop of Alternative Scenarios in Support of QDR, Joint Studies, and Navy Resource Analyses.
- (U) (\$7.991) Continued Integrated Warfare Architectures (IWARs) support and perform assessments and develop the Chief of Naval Operations Program Analysis Memoranda (CPAM). Areas of focus include Air Dominance; Deterrence; Force Structure; Infrastructure; Manpower and Personnel; Training and Education; Power Projection; Readiness; Sea Dominance; Sensor Management/Information Superiority; Sustainment; Technology. Provide Navy input to Joint Warfighting Capabilities Assessment (JWCA) and Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) processes.
 (U) (\$1.805) Continue to develop and accredit IWAR and CPAM tool and improve analytic methodology. Continued support for Alternatives of Analysis (AOA) Support and Oversight. Support for the Chairman's Program Recommendation (CPR) and Chairman's Program Assessment (CPA).
- (U) (\$1.482) Using the standard simulation and database architecture developed by Navy Modeling and Simulation to re-host legacy models into the new framework, vastly improving the utility of these tools to the assessment process. Continue to develop new tools that utilize models in the standard simulation and database architecture. Coordinate/support Joint Analytical Model Improvement Program (JAMIP).

(U) FY 2003 PLAN:

- (U) (\$0.225) Continue to develop and maintain detailed level Navy Standard scenarios based on Defense Planning Guidance. Develop and evaluate alternative scenarios.
- (U) (\$8.764)Supports Integrated Warfare Architecture (IWARS) and perform assessments and develop the Chief of Naval Operations Program Analysis Memoranda (CPAM). Areas of focus include Air Dominance; Force Structure; Infrastructure; Manpower and Personnel; Training and Education; Power Projection; Readiness; Sea Dominance; Sensor Management/Information Interoperability key performer parameters. Provide Navy input to Joint Warfighting Capabilities Assessment and Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) (JWCA) processes.
- (U) (\$2.188) Continue to develop and accredit IWAR and CPAM tool and improve analytic methodology.
- (U) (\$1.623) Applying the standard simulation and database architecture developed by Navy Modeling and Simulation to re-host legacy models into the new framework, vastly improving the utility of these tools to the assessment process. Continue to develop new tools that utilize Models in the standard simulation and database architecture. Coordinate/support Joint Analytical Model Improvement Program (JAMIP).
- B. (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not Applicable
- C. (U) ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not Applicable
- D. (U) SCHEDULE PROFILE: Not Applicable

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.158-13 of 158-13

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 13 of 13)